

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,489	12/26/2000	Alan T. Yaung	STL000044US1 5889		
7:	590 08/13/2004	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK, SEARS, PLLC			NGUYEN, VAN H		
2100 PENNSY WASHINGTO	LVANIA AVE,NW N. DC. 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Wildimidia	.,		2126		
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	oplication No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/750,48	9	YAUNG, ALAN T.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		VAN H NG		2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 April 2004.							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-38 are presented for examination.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities:

"an occurance" (line 13) should read "an occurrence"

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 28-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "said content identifiers" (claim 28, line 12) lacks antecedent basis. Claim 28 has no "content identifiers" phrase that defines or supports the given reference.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,489 Page 3

Art Unit: 2126

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- 6. Claims 1-38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Boudou et al.** (U.S.6,009,472) in view of **Beck et al.** (U.S. 6,742,050).
- 7. As to claim 1, Boudou teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method for communication between a first computer and a second computer (see abstract), the method comprising:

under control of a first application at the first computer (e.g., at least a first node...each of which has a module for communication with another node; col. 2, lines 63-66),

creating a message (e.g., the message...is emitted by a processor of the first node; col.3, lines 1-12), wherein the message comprises, among other things, and an event notification with zero text and zero content identifier (e.g., to notify the node Nx... the node Ny sends the read command message MESS-REQ to the node Nx, using the message enqueuing routine condition of the nodes; col.20, lines 6-13); and

putting the message into a message queue (e.g., it is stored in a queue of messages; col.3, lines 6-12; col.14, lines 25-35; and col.15, lines 33-35);

Art Unit: 2126

under control of a second application at the second computer (e.g., a second node... has a module for communication with another node; col. 2, lines 63-66), retrieving the message from the message queue (e.g., retrieves the message from the queue; col.3, lines 6-12; col.14, lines 25-35; and col.15, lines 33-35).

Boudou does teach a second computer connected to the first computer (e.g., the nodes N are linked to one another; col.4, lines 12-27 and fig.1), but is silent on the connection of the first and second computers with a server.

Beck teaches the first and second computers connected to a server computer (fig.1 and associated text).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Beck and Boudou because Beck's teaching would have provided the capability for managing network resources in the multinodal information system.

- 8. As to claim 2, Boudou teaches text comprises a string of alphanumeric characters (col.1, lines 31-33).
- 9. As to claim 3, Boudou teaches a content identifier comprises an item identifier (col.17, lines 5-65). Boudou, however, is silent on a server name. Beck teaches a server name (col.6, lines 32).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Beck and Boudou because Beck's teaching would have provided the capability for allowing the receiving node to control the flow of data it

Art Unit: 2126

receives so that it can process them without a loss of data and without a reduction in the performance of the receiving node.

- 10. As to claim 4, Boudou teaches the message comprises an event notification with zero text and zero content identifiers (col.20, lines 6-13).
- 11. As to claim 5, Boudou teaches the message comprises text with zero content identifiers (col.3, lines 1-12).
- 12. As to claim 6, Boudou teaches the message comprises zero text and one or more content identifiers that represent items in a data store (col.20, lines 6-13). Note the discussion in claim 1 above for rejection of connecting to the server computer.
- 13. As to claim 7, Boudou teaches the message comprises an object (col.1, lines 31-33).
- 14. As to claim 8, Boudou teaches the message is put into the message queue via a method of a class (col.3, lines 6-12; col.14, lines 25-35; and col.15, lines 33-35).
- 15. As to claim 9, Boudou teaches the message is retrieved from the message queue via a method of a class (col.3, lines 6-12; col.14, lines 25-35; and col.15, lines 33-35).
- 16. As to claim 10, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated herein in full. Claim 10, however, further recites a second computer connected to the first computer and to the server computer in a datastore management system.

Boudou does teach in a datastore management system (e.g., the information system; fig.1) a second computer connected to the first computer (e.g., the nodes N are linked to one another; col.4, lines 12-27 and fig.1), but is silent on the connection of the first and second computers with a server.

Art Unit: 2126

Beck teaches a second computer connected to the first computer and to the server computer (fig.1 and associated text).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Beck and Boudou because Beck's teaching would have provided the capability for managing network resources in the multinodal information system.

- 17. As to claims 11-18, note the rejection of claims 2-9 above. Claims 11-18 are the same as claims 2-9, except claims 11-18 are apparatus claims and claims 2-9 are method claims.
- 18. As to claim 19, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated herein in full. Claim 19, however, further recites the first and second computers and the server computer in a datastore management system. Note the discussion in claim 10 above for rejection of "the first and second computers and the server computer in a datastore management system."
- 19. As to claims 20-27, note the rejection of claims 2-9 above. Claims 20-27 are the same as claims 2-9, except claims 20-27 are program storage medium claims and claims 2-9 are method claims.
- 20. As to claim 28, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated herein in full. Additionally, Boudou further teaches wherein when a body of the message comprises the text, the text is passed to the second application (col.1, lines 30-33 and col.3, lines 1-12), when the body of the message comprises the content identifier, objects are forwarded to the second application (col.17, lines 5-65), and when the body of a message comprises no the text and no the content identifiers the message is an event notification notifying the second application of an occurrence of an event (col.20, lines 6-13).

Art Unit: 2126

21. As to claim 29, Boudou teaches the content identifier identifies a search result (col.17, lines 5-65).

- 22. As to claim 30, Boudou teaches the system is a federated content management system (abstract and fig. 1).
- 23. As to claim 31, Boudou does not explicitly teach the first and second applications are client applications.

Beck teaches the first and second applications are client applications (fig.1 and associated text).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Beck and Boudou because Beck's teaching would have provided the capability for managing network resources in the multinodal information system.

- 24. As to claim 32, Boudou teaches the system is a distributed computing system (fig.1). Refer to discussion of claim 1 above for rejection of the server connects to at least one data storage.
- 25. As to claim 33, Boudou teaches the first and the second computers execute portals for messaging between the first and second applications (fig.11).
- 26. As to claim 34, refer to the discussion of claim 3 above for rejection.
- 27. As to claim 35, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated herein in full. Additionally, Boudou further teaches the message comprises a text length value (col.3, lines 1-12) and a content identifier count value (col.17, lines 5-65).

Art Unit: 2126

- 28. As to claim 36, Boudou teaches the text length value identifies length of text (col.3, lines 1-12) and wherein the content identifier count value identifies a number of content identifiers in the message (col.17, lines 5-65).
- 29. As to claim 37, Boudou teaches when the text length value is zero and when the content identifier count value is zero, the message is an event notification (col.20, lines 6-13).
- 30. As to claim 38, Boudou teaches when the content identifier count value is greater than zero, the message further comprises at least one content identifier identifying an object from a heterogenous storage (col.17, lines 5-65).

Response to Arguments

31. Applicant's arguments filed on April 23, 2004 have been fully considered, but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

- 32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAN H NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-5971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM 6:00PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternative Friday.

Art Unit: 2126

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VHN

SUPERVIŚORY PATENT EXAMINED

Page 9

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21(3)